UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/520,231	01/04/2005	Andre Johan Taljaard	930092-2008	5104	
Ronald R Santu	7590 11/22/201 ccit	EXAMINER			
Frommer Lawre	_	MAI, HAO D			
745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/22/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the many be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.3(6). In or overth, lower, may an option be time from the mining date of this communication. Failune for grow within the set or controlled period for reply with the date of the communication. Failune for grow within the set or controlled period for reply with the date of the communication. Failune for grow within the set or controlled period for reply with the date of the communication. Failune for grow within the set or controlled period for reply with the value of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any country reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any country reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any country reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any country reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any country reply received by the Office and the other provides and the	Office Action Commons	10/520,231	TALJAARD, ANDRE JOHAN				
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. • Entensions or time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1399). In no event, however, may a repty be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. • Filiable to rapty within the set or extended period for rapty with the set of extended period for rapty with the set of extended period for rapty with the set of the communication. • Failure to rapty within the set or extended period for rapty with the set of this communication, even if series \$1X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. • Failure to rapty within the set or extended period for rapty with the set of this communication, even if series \$1X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. • Failure to rapty within the set or extended period for rapty with the set of this communication. • Failure to rapty within the set or extended period for rapty with the set of this communication. • Failure to rapty within the set or extended period for rapty with the set of this communication. • Failure to rapty within the set or extended period for rapty with the set of	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.139(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely flied after Six (6) MONTHS from the radialized content of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.139(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely flied after Six (6) MONTHS from the radialized of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will copyre SiX (6) MONTHS from the radialized of this communication. Any reply accepted by the Citic later than time anoths after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely flied, may reduce any examed patient terms adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 08 September 2010. 2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,2.5-7,11-14.18.22 and 23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2.5-7,11-14.18.22 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1,2.5-7,11-14.18.22 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objected or any objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers is/are allowed. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are any content of the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. P		HAO D. MAI	3732				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be warrished and the provisions of 3 CFE 1.13(g/s). In no event however, may a reply be limited filled after SIX (g) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failurs to reply within the set or autoridad period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDCONED 133 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Critical Barb Main home months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any examed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b). Status 1)		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \$\textit{08 September 2010}\$. 2a) This action is FINAL. \$\textit{2b}\rightarrow{\textit{N}}\textit{This action is FINAL.} \$\textit{2b}\rightarrow{\textit{N}}\textit{This action is non-final.} \textit{3}\$ 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under \$Ex parte Quayle\$, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) \$\textit{1.2.5-7.11-14.18.22 and 23}\$ is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \$\textit{is/are}\$ is/are withdrawn from consideration.} \textit{5}\$ Claim(s) \$\textit{is/are}\$ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) \$\textit{is/are}\$ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \$\textit{is/are}\$ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \$\textit{aim(s)}\$ is/are objected to by the Examiner.} \textit{4}\$ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on \$\text{is/are}\$ is/are: a) correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \$\text{is/application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).}	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.5-7.11-14.18.22 and 23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1.2.5-7.11-14.18.22 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Status						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.5-7.11-14.18.22 and 23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1.2.5-7.11-14.18.22 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Se	entember 2010					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.5-7,11-14,18.22 and 23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.5-7,11-14,18.22 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some or Mone of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.5-7.11-14.18.22 and 23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.5-7.11-14.18.22 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	<i>i</i> —	·					
A) Claim(s) 1.2.5-7.11-14.18.22 and 23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.5-7.11-14.18.22 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
4) Claim(s) 1.2.5-7.11-14.18.22 and 23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.5-7.11-14.18.22 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		,,,,,,,					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-7,11-14,18,22 and 23</u> is/are pen	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-7,11-14,18,22 and 23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
6] Claim(s) 1,2,5-7,11-14,18,22 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-7,11-14,18,22 and 23</u> is/are reje	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-7,11-14,18,22 and 23</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	·- <u>-</u> ·-						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified conies not received							
God the attached detailed enloc action for a list of the certained copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	Attachment(s)						
``							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:		· —	atent Application				

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 09/08/2010 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 5-7, 11-14, 18, and 22-23, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karasic (6,805,127) in view of Bergersen (4,898,535), and further in view of Bertoch et al. (6,755,191).

Regarding claim 1, Karasic discloses a device capable of retaining a medical tube, the device including: a first patient engaging portion (first mouthguard 14) configured to engage a zone of an upper jaw of a patient; and a second patient engaging portion (second mouthguard 24) configured to engage a zone of a lower jaw of the patient (Fig. 1). Both the first and second engaging portions 14, 24, each is being shaped in a form of a dental arch and having tooth engaging portions; and the two portions are being biased apart to provide a working gap

Art Unit: 3732

between. The device further includes a medical tube guide means, e.g. the tongue retractor holder 62 or throat opener channel/holder 42, either of which is capable of holding a medical tube and is located in an airway of the patient. The medical tube guide means 62 or 42 is supportable on patient engaging portion 24 and located relative to the patient engaging portions such that in use the medical tube guide means is operatively positioned at the mouth of a patient in order to hold the medical tube as it exits the airway of the patient. The device further includes least one bite block, e.g. structure 34/36 or 40, extending from at least one of the patient engaging portion capable of preventing a patient exerting a compressive force on the medical tube.

Karasic fails to disclose the first and second patient engagement portions 14, 24, meeting at an apex and are pivotably displaceable relative to one another. Bergersen discloses a dental appliance 10 having first/upper and second/lower patient engagement portions 26, 30, respectively; wherein the first and second patient engagement portions meet at an apex/biasing hinge 34 and are pivotably displaceable relative to one another (Figs. 1-8; abstract; column 2 lines 57-68). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Karasic by having the first and second patient engagement portions meeting at an apex or hinge as taught by Bergersen as a known alternative suitable connecting mechanism between the first and second patient engagement portions.

Karasic further fails the securing means. Bertoch et al. disclose a clamp 20 (Figs. 1-3) operable between a securing and a releasing position. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Karasic by including a clamp such as that of Bertoch et al. in order to secure the medical tube against longitudinal displacement.

Art Unit: 3732

As to claim 2, Karasic discloses the mouthguards 14, 24 being made from elastomeric material, which are inherently resiliently deformable. As to claims 5-7, at least the medical tube guide means 42 is eccentrically located towards a commissure of the mouth and is sized to permit a view into the mouth of the patient through the working gap; it is also capable of immobilize the medical tube in a desired position. As to claim 11, wherein Karasic's device is modified by Bergersen for the first and second patient engaging portions being connected, biased, and pivotably displaceable relative to each other via apex/hinge 34; note that Bergensen further discloses the apex/hinge 34 comprises a spring and/or resiliently deformable material (column 3 lines 29-47).

As to claims 12 and 14, Karasic's medical tube guide means 62 or 42 each includes a eye through which the medical tube to be guided can pass; and the first and second patient engaging portions includes portions configured to approximate a patient's bite size.

As to claim 13, Karasic does not disclose a slip resilient portion in the medical tube guide means. Bertoch et al. disclose that the inner surfaces of clamp 20 may be lined with a material having a high coefficient of friction such as rubber, or be formed with protuberances, ridges, grooves, etc. to improve the grip on a medical tube (column 4 lines 25-34). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Karasic by incorporate a slip resilient portion, such as lining the inner surface of the medical tube guide means 62 with a material having a high coefficient of friction in order to prevent slippage movement of the medical tube as explicitly taught by Bertoch et al.

Regarding claim 18, the method comprising the step of biasing a patient's teeth away from the medical tube while permitting the medical tube to be guided into the patient is naturally carried out when using the device disclosed by Karasic and Bertoch et al. as detailed above.

Art Unit: 3732

Regarding claims 22-23, Karasic discloses all the limitations as claimed as detailed above with respect to claims 1 and 5. Particularly, the first and second patient engaging portions 14, 24, each comprises a resiliently deformable arm 34, 36, respectively. Each arm has a base (area near 12) attached at one end, and a tooth engaging portion attached at the other end.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 09/08/2010 regarding the newly recited limitation "the first and second patient engagement portions meet at an apex..." have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection in view of Bergersen as detailed above.

Applicant's arguments filed with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request on 06/11/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued that Karasic's two opposing mouth guards 14, 24 are not biased apart as claimed because Karasic's fastener 12 merely retains the two mouth guards in a stationary position relative one another. The examiner maintains that Karasic's fastener 12 biases the mouth guards 14 and 24 apart as claimed, and/or exerts an opening force exerted there between as argued by Applicant as the meaning of "biased apart". Furthermore, under the new ground(s) of rejection as detailed above, Karasic in view of Bergersen provides the two patient engaging portions or mouth guards being connected and biased apart at an apex/hinge 34 as taught by Bergersen.

Applicant further argued that Karasic's tongue retractor holder 62 or holder 42 cannot be interpreted as a medical tube guide means. As noted in previous Office Actions, if the prior art structure is capable of performing the recited functions or intended use, then it meets the claim. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). The examiner maintains that Karasic's holder 62 or 42 is supported on at least one of the patient engaging portions and located relative to the

Art Unit: 3732

patient engaging portions such that in use holder 62 or 42 is operatively positioned at the mouth of the patient, therefore meet the claimed structural limitations of the medical tube guide means. As for the claim language or intended use (i.e. medical tube guide means... for holding a medical tube..., in order to hold the medical tube..., etc.), Karasic's holder 62 or 42 is <u>capable</u> of performing the recited functions or intended use.

Applicant further argued that holder 62 and 42 are not suitable for receiving a medical tube because holder 62 is in the form of an elongate slot, which is unsuitable for a medical tube's a circular circumference; and holder 42 is anchoring throat opener. Such arguments are not persuasive because neither the medical tube nor any structural limitations thereof (e.g. circular circumference) is actively claimed. "A medical tube" is interpreted broadly within reason as any kind of medical tubing device, e.g. a cathether; and a relatively small catheter with a circular or other shape circumference can certainly be received through Karasic's holder 62 and 42.

Applicant's arguments regarding the invention's medical tube guide means in the form of an elongate channel preventing angular displacement of the medical tube are not persuasive because such features are neither recited in the claims nor disclosed in the specification as originally filed.

As to the claimed bite block, The examiner maintains that Karasic's structures 34/36 or 40, each is qualified as the claimed bite block as each is "extending from at least one of the patient engaging portion and is capable of preventing a patient exerting a compressive force on the medical tube" as required/recited by claim 1.

Art Unit: 3732

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to HAO D. MAI whose telephone number is (571)270-3002. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday-Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-4964.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

571-273-8300.

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hao D Mai/

Examiner, Art Unit 3732

/Cris L. Rodriguez/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3732